

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/992,591	11/06/2001	Paul R. Margiott	C-2451	7404
7590 06/23/2004			EXAMINER	
Stephen E. Re 1 Abbottsford	vis		ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND	
Avon, CT 060	001-3953		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	<del> </del>
		DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/992,591	MARGIOTT ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond Alejandro	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 17 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth the ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension prignally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment ( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		
10. ☐ Other:	-(-)(	 
		KW
		·

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues: (claims 1-13 and 14-17) the newly recited limitations "the catalyst bed" of the fuel reformer or the shift converter or desulfurizer or the selective oxidizer or the other component.

As stated by the applicants, these newly recited limitations slightly change the original scope of the claims as the amended claims now intend "to represent and mean only the reaction layer or catalyst bed through which the organic fuel travels and within which the conversion of that fuel to hydrogen takes place". This new amendment is somewhat different from the original claim language which was completely silent as to the specific area/zone and travel path through which air/organic fuel was passing through.

KAM